IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

DATE OF DECISION: 8.9.2006

Prithi Singh Sahu

...Petitioner

versus

Kurukshetra University and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- Hon'ble Mr. Justice Uma Nath Singh.
Hon'ble Mr.Justice Mahesh Grover.

Present: Mr.S.S.Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.S.K.Monga, Sr.DAG, Haryana.
Mr.Balram Gupta, Sr.Advocate
Mr.Vinod Arya, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

UMA NATH SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in terms of Clause 6(d) of Chapter 1 of Kurukshetra University Calendar, for different exigencies different types of arrangements have been provided for.

We have considered the provision so also the submission. As per the said provision, the University will come into picture only when all the formalities for holding election are complete and there is no complaint whatsoever, whereas in the instant case, 505 members have lodged complaints about serious irregularities in the voters' list and an enquiry was conducted under the direction of the Deputy Commissioner by the concerned Sub Divisional Magistrate, and thereafter, the Administrator has been appointed. The voters' list was not found to be valid. Further in the instant case, the election was scheduled to be held but because of the

irregularities in the voters list, it could not take place. As the writ petition involves the disputed questions of facts, we are not inclined to accept it.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed.

**( UMA NATH SINGH )**
**JUDGE**

**September 8, 2006** **( MAHESH GROVER )**
**pk** **JUDGE**